

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELIZABETH COX,

No. C 12-06377 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE**

GENERAL MILLS, INC.,

Defendant.

Upon review of the parties' renewed request for an extension, the existing case deadlines are **MODIFIED** as follows: defendant shall answer the complaint or file its motion by **MARCH 7 AT NOON**; if defendant moves to dismiss, plaintiff's opposition thereto will be due by **MARCH 28 AT NOON**; any reply will be due by **APRIL 4 AT NOON**; the motion will be heard on **APRIL 18 AT 8:00 A.M.** The initial case management conference is **RESCHEDULED** for **APRIL 18 AT 11:00 A.M.** This order should not be interpreted as a *de facto* stay of the parties' initial discovery obligations.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 11, 2013.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE